## Effect of anisotropy on critical temperature estimation using machine learning

## Lev Shchur<sup>1,2</sup>, Diana Suhoverhova<sup>1,2</sup>, Evgeni Burovski<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Landau Institute For Theoretical Physics, Chernogolovka, Russian Federation, <sup>2</sup>HSE University, Moscow, Russian Federation

We check how aspect ratio and interaction anisotropy can affect the accuracy of the critical temperature estimate using a neural network. We study two cases of the Ising model. We have trained a model for the classification of paramagnetic and ferromagnetic phases, which makes it possible to predict the phase to which the test sample belongs [1]. Supervised learning was carried out for the Ising model on a square lattice with the same couplings M. There are two sets of testing tasks. We first test the classical Onsager case with different horizontal M and vertical M couplings on a square lattice [2]. Second, we test Ising model on a square lattice temperature is known for both cases [2, 3].

The first result is that the bond aspect ratio N/M does not affect the accuracy of the temperature estimate for the Onsager case. The second result is that the anisotropy caused by the diagonal ratio N/M leads to a systematic deviation of the calculated critical temperature from a well-known critical temperature. It is well known that anisotropy changes the value of the Binder cumulant [4] and thus determines the limitations of universality [5]. Instead, we demonstrate the limitations of knowledge transfer in machine learning due to anisotropy. This result is very practical - if randomly tested samples contain anisotropy, the trained neural network will predict the wrong critical temperature of the sample. However, we have a good message - the extracted correlation length critical exponent  $\nu$  is still reliable and does not seem to be biased by the anisotropy parameter.

Research supported by the grant 22-11-00259 of the Russian Science Foundation.

The simulations were done using the computational resources of HPC facilities at HSE University.

## References

- [1] J. Carrasquilla, R. G. Melko, Nature Phys. 13, 431 (2017).
- [2] L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. 65, 117 (1944).
- [3] R. M. F. Houtappel, Physica 16, 425 (1950).
- [4] V. Dohm, Phys. Rev. E 77, 061128 (2008).
- [5] W. Selke, L.N. Shchur, Phys. Rev. E 80, 042104 (2009).
- [6] P. Kostenetskiy, R. Chulkevich, V. Kozyrev, J. of Phys. Conf. Ser., 1740, 012050 (2021).